所化

12 May 1972

MEHORANDUM

SUBJECT: Some Thoughts on the Present Crisis

It is risky business, in a period of intensive private diplomacy, to try to analyse Soviet behavior from public statements and "the logic of the situation." Nevertheless, here are a few propositions.

- I. The Soviets calculate that the May summit will not take place.
  - A. They believe that the US President is unwilling to make the visit while the non-Communist position in South Vietnam is shaky and under heavy attack.
  - B. They are themselves unwilling to make the only move which might offset this US reluctance, i.e., pressure on North Vietnam so serious as to threaten an open break.
- II. This being the case, they prefer that the summit be postponed rather than cancelled, and in a regretful rather than hostile fashion.
  - A. This would do the least damage to the interests which they had hoped to advance at the meeting and which they will still wish to pursue at a later date.

MORI/CDF)

- B. It would also do the least damage to their European policy, which is separate from, but influenced by the course of, US-Soviet relations.
  - 1. In this respect, the hiatus in Bundestag action on the Soviet-FRG treaty is a strong argument for refraining from retaliation against the US for its actions in North Vietnam.
- III. These factors explain why, contrary to the expectations of many of us, the USSR did not initially react by withdrawing its invitation to the President.
- IV. Events of this magnitude, coming to climax simultaneously, are almost certain to cause reverberations within the collective leadership.
  - A. Brezhnev, personally identified with the innovations in policy toward the US and West Germany, is likely to be in trouble.
  - B. It is in his interest to salvage as much as possible via a ratification of the German-Soviet treaty and a summit postponement arranged and announced with a minimum of rancor.
  - C. But if events move against him--a Bundestag vote against the treaty, or further US escalation in Vietnam (or, worst of all, both)-his instinct for self-preservation could lead him to swing over and try to put himself at the head of the opposing tendency in Soviet policy.

## SECRET

- V. While waiting on these contingencies, the USSR will try to keep things on an even keel.
  - A. The 11 May statement sets a viable line for this period--condemnation of the US action, but with-out interpreting it as a challenge to the USSR; proforma reiteration of support for Hanoi; silence on the summit.
  - B. The corresponding decisions on concrete actions would be:
    - No challenge to the minefields.
    - 2. Only formal protests of damage to Soviet
    - 3. No demonstrative surge in military aid to the DRV.
    - 4. No major public statements unless new events require them.
- VI. The Soviets hope that, if there is to be no May summit, they will not have to be the ones to break it off.
  - A. Their first choice would be an agreed postpone-
    - B. Second choice is a US postponement.
    - C. Third is Soviet withdrawal of the invitation.
    - I. Brezhnev would feel forced to this if the US escalated further but still proceeded openly down the summit track.

SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/11/01 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000700070067-1

25X1

- 2. He would be under pressure to do it in a harsh way that would usher in a cold period in Soviet-US relations, at least until after November.

  VII. The North Vietnamese would like to see the last of these outcomes materialize.
  - A. They do not like the mining or the attacks on the rail lines.
  - B. Nor are they happy with the way in which their allies are wavering.
  - C. But they do not feel themselves defeated, and their whole history suggests that they will react by increasing their battlefield pressures, hoping
    - 1. to win as big a victory as possible,
    - 2. to abort the summit, and
    - 3. to force their allies to recommit themselves to the support of Hanoi.